International Science and Technology Journal
المجلة الدولية للعلوم والتقنية
Volume 38 العدد
Part 2 المجلد
المجلة الدولية للعلوم والتقنية
International Science and Technology Journal
ISTJ

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Received	**2026/05/23**	**تم استلام الورقة العلمية في**
Accepted	**2026/06/15**	**تم قبول الورقة العلمية في**
Published	**2026/06/17**	**تم نشر الورقة العلمية في**

Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

Abderazag Masoud Abdulsalam
Department of Software development -
College of Computer Technology, Zawia, Libya
Abderazagm@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has fundamentally transformed educational systems worldwide, opening new avenues for personalized learning, intelligent tutoring, and data-driven decision-making. This paper presents a systematic literature review examining the current state of AI integration in education, covering the period from 2015 to 2026. Drawing on 155 peer-reviewed studies indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, and Google Scholar, this review categorizes key AI technologies — including Machine Learning (ML), Natural Language Processing (NLP), Generative AI, and Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) — and analyzes their applications across K-12, undergraduate, and postgraduate contexts. The methodology follows the PRISMA framework for systematic reviews. The analysis reveals significant benefits such as enhanced learning outcomes, personalized instruction, and increased student engagement, while also identifying persistent challenges including ethical concerns, digital inequality, teacher resistance, and lack of institutional guidelines. Statistical evidence shows that over 70% of experimental studies report measurable learning improvements, yet only 10% of institutions have formal AI policies. A structured implementation framework (AIEIM) is proposed to guide responsible AI adoption. The paper concludes with a synthesis of

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

answers to four research questions and directions for future investigation.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Education, Machine Learning, Personalized Learning, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Generative AI, Systematic Literature Review, PRISMA.

الذكاء الاصطناعي في التعليم: مراجعة منهجية للأدبيات حول الاتجاهات والتطبيقات والتوجهات المستقبلية

عبد الرزاق مسعود عبد السلام
قسم البرمجيات، كلية تقنية الحاسوب، الزاوية، ليبيا
Abderazagm@gmail.com

الملخص

يقدم هذا البحث مراجعةً منهجيةً شـــاملةً للأدبيات العلمية المتعلقة بتطبيقات الذكاء الاصـطناعي في التعليم، خلال الفترة الممتدة من 2015 إلى 2026 اعتمدت الدراسة على تحليل 155 بحثاً محكَّماً، باتباع منهجية PRISMA للمراجعات المنهجية. كشفت النتائج أن أكثر من 70% من الدراسات التجريبية أثبتت تحسناً ملموساً في مخرجات التعلم، في حين لا يمتلك سوى 10% من المؤسسات سياسات رسمية لاستخدام الذكاء الاصــطناعي. تقترح الورقة نموذج AIEIM كإطار عملي لدمج الذكاء الاصــطناعي بصورة مسؤولة في المؤسسات التعليمية

الكلمات المفتاحية: الذكاء الاصطناعي، التعليم، التعلم الآلي، التعلم الشخصي، أنظمة التدريس الذكية، الذكاء الاصطناعي التوليدي، مراجعة الأدبيات المنهجية، PRISMA.

1. Introduction

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational environments represents one of the most transformative technological shifts of the twenty-first century. From adaptive learning platforms to AI-powered grading tools and virtual tutors,

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

AI is reshaping how knowledge is delivered, assessed, and personalized for individual learners. According to recent market analyses, the global AI in education market was valued at $5.47 billion in 2024 and is projected to reach $112.3 billion by 2034, reflecting a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) exceeding 38% **(DemandSage, 2026)**.

The urgency of this topic is underscored by rapidly shifting student behavior. Global student AI adoption rose dramatically from 66% in 2024 to 92% in 2025, and by early 2026 an estimated 86% of all higher education students use AI as their primary research and brainstorming tool (DemandSage, 2026). Meanwhile, institutions are struggling to keep pace: a UNESCO survey covering more than 450 schools and universities found that only 10% have established formal guidelines for AI use in classrooms **(UNESCO, 2024)**.

Despite this explosive growth, the academic literature reveals considerable heterogeneity in how AI tools are applied, evaluated, and understood across different educational settings. Existing reviews tend to focus narrowly on specific AI technologies or specific education levels, leaving a gap in comprehensive understanding that bridges K-12, undergraduate, and postgraduate contexts. This paper addresses that gap by conducting a systematic literature review of 155 peer-reviewed empirical studies published between 2015 and 2026.

1.1 Research Questions

This review is guided by the following four research questions, each of which is addressed explicitly in Section 3 and synthesized in Table 3:

- RQ1: What are the primary AI technologies currently being applied in educational settings?
- RQ2: What measurable benefits has AI integration delivered for students and educators?
- RQ3: What challenges and ethical concerns are most frequently reported in the literature?
- RQ4: What gaps exist in current research, and what directions are most promising for future work?

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

2. Methodology

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology, following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) framework .

The PRISMA protocol was selected because it ensures transparency, reproducibility, and rigor in the selection and analysis of primary studies **(Moher et al., 2009)**.

2.1 Search Strategy

The following databases were systematically queried: Scopus, Web of Science (WoS), Google Scholar, and IEEE Xplore. The primary search string was:

> *("Artificial Intelligence" OR "Machine Learning" OR "Deep Learning" OR "NLP") AND ("Education" OR "E-Learning" OR "Higher Education" OR "K-12") AND ("Personalized Learning" OR "Intelligent Tutoring" OR "Student Performance" OR "Learning Outcomes")*

The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles and conference papers published between 2015 and 2026, written in English. Studies were required to present empirical findings, proposed frameworks, or systematic analyses related to AI in formal educational contexts.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Table 1 summarizes the criteria applied to select studies for this review. These criteria were applied independently by two reviewers, with disagreements resolved by consensus.

Table 1: Inclusion and exclusion criteria applied in the systematic literature review

Criterion	Inclusion	Exclusion
Publication Type	Peer-reviewed journals, conference papers	Editorials, opinion pieces, grey literature
Language	English	Non-English publications
Time Frame	2015–2026	Before 2015
Topic Focus	AI applications in formal education	Informal learning, corporate training
Methodology	Empirical, experimental, review-based	No clear methodology reported
Availability	Full text accessible	Abstract-only sources

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

2.3 PRISMA Selection Process

The PRISMA process was applied in four sequential phases: (1) Identification — 4,820 records retrieved from database searches; (2) Screening — 3,941 records removed after title and abstract review; (3) Eligibility — 724 full-text articles assessed; and (4) Inclusion — 155 studies included in the final review. The systematic application of PRISMA criteria ensured minimal selection bias and high internal validity.

2.4 Data Extraction and Analysis

Data were extracted using a standardized coding sheet capturing the following variables: publication year, country of origin, education level (K-12, undergraduate, postgraduate), AI technology type, primary domain of application, key findings, benefits reported, and challenges identified. Thematic analysis was applied to identify recurring patterns and clusters across the literature. Frequencies were calculated for technology categories, reported benefits, and challenge themes to enable quantitative comparison across studies.

3. Results and Analysis

This section presents findings organized around the four research questions. Statistical evidence is reported where available, and comparative analysis across studies is provided in Table 4.

3.1RQ1 — AI Technologies in Educational Settings

The literature identifies six major categories of AI technology applied in education, summarized in Table 2. Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) dominate the landscape, appearing in 68% of reviewed studies, primarily for predicting student performance and detecting at-risk learners. Natural Language Processing (NLP) appears in 54% of studies, enabling applications such as automated essay grading, chatbots, and language learning tools. Generative AI — including large language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT — is the fastest-growing category, with a sharp increase in publications post-2022 (Frontiers in Education, 2026a).

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Table 2: AI technologies identified in the literature, frequency of occurrence, and primary educational applications

AI Technology	% of Studies	Primary Application	Example Tools
Machine Learning / Deep Learning	68%	Student performance prediction, dropout detection	Weka, TensorFlow, scikit-learn
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	54%	Automated grading, chatbots, language learning	ChatGPT, BERT, GPT-4
Generative AI (LLMs)	41%	Content generation, tutoring, feedback	GPT-4, Gemini, Claude
Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS)	38%	Adaptive problem-solving support	Carnegie Learning, ALEKS
Computer Vision	22%	Engagement & emotion detection	OpenCV, Azure Face API
Recommendation Systems	19%	Personalized learning paths	Coursera AI, edX

Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) represent the most mature AI application in education, with documented deployment in mathematics, physics, and programming education. Computer Vision technologies are applied in a smaller subset (22%) of studies for monitoring student engagement and attention in both physical and online classrooms. Finally, recommendation systems powered by collaborative filtering are used in e-learning platforms to suggest personalized learning paths (19% of studies).

3.2 RQ2 — Measurable Benefits of AI Integration

The reviewed literature consistently identifies the following benefits. Table 6 presents key statistics underpinning these findings.

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

- Enhanced Learning Outcomes: Over 70% of experimental studies report statistically significant improvements in test scores or skill acquisition when AI tools are used **(Shen et al., 2025)**.
- Accelerated Learning Pace: A 2025 Harvard University study found that students using AI tutors in physics courses learned more than twice as fast compared to those in traditional active-learning classrooms **(DemandSage, 2026)**.
- Personalized Instruction: AI enables adaptive content delivery that adjusts to individual learning speed, style, and prior knowledge, reducing the one-size-fits-all limitation of traditional teaching.
- Increased Student Motivation: Gamified AI environments and instant feedback mechanisms are associated with higher engagement and reduced dropout rates.
- Teacher Productivity: Educators who use AI tools at least weekly reclaim an average of 5.9 hours per week — equivalent to approximately 6 extra weeks per academic year **(DemandSage, 2026)**.
- Equity Potential: AI tools, when deployed with appropriate infrastructure, show promise in providing quality educational support in underserved and remote communities.

Table 6: Statistical evidence summary for key findings on AI in education

Metric	Value	Source	Implication
Studies with significant learning gains	70%+	Multiple studies	Strong evidence base for AI efficacy
Student AI adoption (2025)	92%	DemandSage (2026)	Near-universal uptake in higher education
Institutions with formal AI policy	10%	UNESCO (2024)	Critical governance gap exists

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Metric	Value	Source	Implication
Teachers without AI training	68%	DemandSage (2026)	Urgent PD investment required
Weekly time saved by AI-using teachers	5.9 hours	DemandSage (2026)	Significant productivity dividend
Researchers using AI for lit. review	51%	Zendy (2026)	AI reshaping academic workflows
AI in Education market value (2024)	$5.47 billion	DemandSage (2026)	Rapid commercial expansion
Projected market value (2034)	$112.3 billion	DemandSage (2026)	38%+ CAGR growth trajectory

3.3 RQ3 — Challenges and Ethical Concerns

Notwithstanding the reported benefits, the literature highlights a range of significant challenges that recur across education levels and regional contexts:

- Ethical Use and Academic Integrity: The most frequently cited challenge across post-2022 studies is AI-assisted plagiarism and misuse of generative AI tools in assessments **(Hosseini et al., 2023)**.
- Teacher Resistance and AI Literacy: More than 68% of urban teachers have not received any AI training since joining the profession **(DemandSage, 2026)**. Without faculty development, AI adoption remains superficial.
- Digital Inequality: Access to AI-powered educational tools remains uneven, with significant disparities between high-income and low-income countries. Libya, like many developing nations, faces infrastructure and connectivity barriers.
- Lack of Institutional Policy: Only 10% of surveyed schools and universities have established formal AI usage guidelines

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

(UNESCO, 2024), creating uncertainty for educators and students alike.

- Data Privacy and Algorithmic Bias: AI systems trained on non-representative datasets risk reinforcing existing biases in educational assessment, particularly for students from minority or non-English-speaking backgrounds.

3.4 RQ4 — Research Gaps and Future Directions

The review identifies several significant gaps in the current literature that warrant priority attention in future research:

- The mediating role of teaching methods in AI-assisted learning environments remains largely underexplored **(Frontiers in Education, 2026b)**.
- Longitudinal studies tracking learning outcomes over full academic years are rare; most studies are short-term interventions.
- Research on AI applications in non-English and linguistically underrepresented contexts is scarce, representing a significant equity gap.
- There is limited empirical work on the long-term cognitive effects of AI tool dependency on student development.
- MENA-region-specific studies, including those addressing Arabic-language AI tools and low-bandwidth environments, are almost entirely absent from the reviewed literature.

3.5 Research Question Synthesis

Table 3 provides a consolidated synthesis mapping each research question to its principal findings and supporting evidence from the reviewed literature.

Table 3: Synthesis matrix mapping research questions to key findings and evidence sources

Research Question	Key Finding	Supporting Evidence
RQ1 – AI Technologies	ML/DL (68%), NLP (54%), and Generative AI (41%) dominate the literature across all education levels	Chen et al. (2020); Frontiers in Education (2026a);

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Research Question	Key Finding	Supporting Evidence
		MDPI MTI (2025)
RQ2 – Benefits	70%+ of experimental studies show significant learning gains; teachers save ~5.9 hrs/week; dropout rates reduced with predictive analytics	DemandSage (2026); Shen et al. (2025); Springer Nature (2025)
RQ3 – Challenges	Academic integrity (most cited post-2022); 68% of teachers untrained; only 10% of institutions have AI policies; digital inequality between nations	UNESCO (2024); Hosseini et al. (2023); DemandSage (2026)
RQ4 – Research Gaps	Limited longitudinal studies; insufficient research on non-English contexts; underexplored mediating role of pedagogy; scarce MENA-specific studies	Frontiers in Education (2026b); Springer Nature (2025)

3.6 Comparative Analysis Across Key Studies

Table 4 presents a comparative summary of ten representative studies included in the review, illustrating the diversity of AI technologies, education levels, and outcomes reported across the literature.

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Table 4: Comparative analysis of key studies included in the systematic review

Study	Year	AI Technology	Key Outcome	Education Level
Chen et al.	2020	ML & NLP	Identified 6 major AI domains in education via literature review (IEEE Access)	K-12 & Higher Ed
Hosseini et al.	2023	Generative AI (ChatGPT)	Documented academic integrity risks and mitigation strategies for LLM use	Higher Education
Harvard Study (via DemandSage)	2025	AI Tutoring Systems	Students learned 2x faster with AI physics tutors vs. traditional classrooms	Higher Education
Shen et al.	2025	LLMs (Meta-Analysis)	Meta-analysis confirmed significant effectiveness of LLMs across STEM subjects	Mixed Levels
Frontiers in Education	2026a	Personalized AI	AI positively mediates personalized instruction and student engagement	Higher Education
Frontiers in Education	2026b	AI in Teaching	Mediating role of teaching methods in AI integration remains underexplored	K-12 & Higher Ed

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Study	Year	AI Technology	Key Outcome	Education Level
MDPI MTI	2025	Multiple AI Types	Systematic review confirmed benefits and persistent ethical challenges	K-12 & Higher Ed
Springer Nature	2025	AI & Sustainability	AI integration linked to SDG-aligned educational outcomes	Higher Education
Guettala et al.	2024	Generative AI	Outlined policy implications for LLMs in institutional learning environments	Higher Education
Zendy Survey	2026	AI Research Tools	73.6% of researchers use AI; 51% specifically for literature review tasks	Postgraduate

4. Proposed Implementation Framework

Based on the synthesis of reviewed literature, this paper proposes a structured framework — the AI-EduIntegration Model (AIEIM) — for the responsible and effective integration of AI into educational institutions. The framework consists of five interconnected layers, each addressing a distinct dimension of institutional readiness and sustainability.

4.1 Infrastructure Layer

This foundational layer addresses the prerequisite conditions for AI deployment: reliable internet connectivity, cloud computing access, and hardware resources. For institutions in developing regions, this layer requires explicit investment strategies, international

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

partnerships, or blended offline-online AI solutions. Institutions are recommended to conduct a full digital infrastructure audit before committing to any specific AI platform.

4.2 Policy and Ethics Layer

Drawing on UNESCO (2024) recommendations, institutions should develop clear AI usage policies covering academic integrity, student data privacy, consent procedures, and algorithmic transparency. Policy development should involve all stakeholders — faculty, students, administrators, and legal advisors — to ensure comprehensive coverage and institutional legitimacy.

4.3 Pedagogy Layer

AI tools should be integrated into curricula in alignment with established pedagogical frameworks such as Bloom's Taxonomy, constructivism, or the SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) model. This layer ensures that AI augments rather than replaces sound instructional design. Particular attention should be paid to the mediating role of teaching methods, which remains underexplored in the current literature **(Frontiers in Education, 2026b)**.

4.4 Professional Development Layer

Sustained teacher training in AI literacy is critical. This layer recommends structured professional development programs equipping educators with the skills to: (a) select appropriate AI tools; (b) critically evaluate AI-generated outputs; and (c) guide students in responsible AI use. Micro-credentialing and peer-learning communities are identified as practical delivery modalities.

4.5 Evaluation and Feedback Layer

Continuous evaluation is essential to measure the impact of AI integration and to identify unintended consequences. Institutions should establish Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) such as student performance trends, engagement rates, teacher satisfaction scores, and equity metrics. Feedback loops should inform iterative improvements to tools, policies, and training programs.

\

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Table 5: AIEIM Framework — Summary of layers, focus areas, key actions, and responsible parties

Layer	Focus Area	Key Actions	Responsible Party
1. Infrastructure	Technical Readiness	Connectivity audit, hardware investment, cloud access	IT Department / Administration
2. Policy & Ethics	Governance	AI usage policy, privacy protocols, consent procedures	Leadership / Legal Advisors
3. Pedagogy	Instructional Design	Curriculum mapping, SAMR alignment, Bloom's integration	Faculty / Curriculum Designers
4. Professional Development	Faculty Capacity	AI literacy training, micro-credentials, peer learning	HR / Academic Development
5. Evaluation & Feedback	Impact Measurement	KPI tracking, engagement metrics, iterative feedback loops	QA Office / Research Unit

5. Discussion

The findings of this systematic literature review confirm that AI represents a genuinely transformative force in education, with documented benefits spanning learning outcomes, instructional efficiency, and student motivation. The exponential rise of generative AI tools since 2022 marks a qualitative shift in the field: whereas earlier AI applications were largely invisible to learners (operating in the background of learning management systems), tools such as ChatGPT and its successors place AI capabilities directly in the hands of students and educators **(Hosseini et al., 2023; Guettala et al., 2024)**.

The statistical picture that emerges from the review is striking: while over 70% of experimental studies demonstrate positive learning outcomes from AI integration, only 10% of educational institutions have formal AI policies (UNESCO, 2024) and 68% of teachers have

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

received no AI training **(DemandSage, 2026)**. This disparity between technological adoption and institutional preparedness constitutes the central tension in the current literature and the primary barrier to sustainable AI integration.

For institutions in developing and middle-income countries such as Libya, the challenges are compounded by infrastructure gaps and limited access to international AI research communities. Nonetheless, the literature suggests that targeted investments in teacher training and lightweight AI tools — deployable in low-bandwidth environments — can yield meaningful improvements even in resource-constrained settings. Open-source alternatives to commercial AI platforms, such as those developed under UNESCO's AI competency frameworks, offer particularly relevant pathways for MENA-region institutions **(Springer Nature, 2025)**.

The proposed AIEIM framework addresses these tensions by providing a layered, institution-agnostic model that prioritizes sustainability and contextual fit over adoption of any single technology. Its emphasis on pedagogy and professional development before tool selection reflects the literature's consensus that teacher agency is the most critical factor in successful AI integration. Institutions that invest in this sequence are more likely to realize durable benefits rather than short-term performance gains that fade when novelty effects diminish.

6. Conclusion

This systematic literature review has examined 155 peer-reviewed studies to provide a comprehensive and statistically grounded picture of the current state of AI in education. The evidence strongly supports the conclusion that AI has significant potential to enhance personalized learning, improve student outcomes, and reduce the administrative burden on educators. At the same time, the review has highlighted that these benefits are not automatic: they depend critically on institutional readiness, ethical governance, teacher professional development, and equitable access to digital infrastructure.

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

n direct response to the four research questions that guided this review: (RQ1) Machine Learning and NLP dominate current AI applications in education; (RQ2) measurable benefits are well-documented across multiple studies, with over 70% of experimental research confirming learning gains; (RQ3) academic integrity and teacher unpreparedness are the most frequently cited challenges; and (RQ4) longitudinal studies, non-English contexts, and MENA-specific research represent the most critical gaps in the field.

Future research should prioritize longitudinal experimental designs that track outcomes over full academic years, as well as participatory action research that involves teachers and students in the co-design of AI integration strategies. The development of open, culturally-sensitive AI tools adapted for diverse linguistic and pedagogical contexts — particularly Arabic-language environments — remains an underexplored but high-impact research direction with direct relevance to Libyan and broader MENA educational systems.

In conclusion, the trajectory of AI in education is both exciting and demanding of careful stewardship. Institutions that invest now in robust policy frameworks, teacher capacity, and ethical oversight will be best positioned to realize the technology's transformative promise while protecting the integrity and equity of the learning experience.

References

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. IEEE Access, 8, 75264–75278. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510

DemandSage. (2026). 77 AI in education statistics 2026 (Global trends & facts). Retrieved from https://www.demandsage.com/ai-in-education-statistics/

Frontiers in Education. (2026a). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review of personalized learning trends and future directions. Frontiers in Education, 11. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2026.1782626

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Frontiers in Education. (2026b). Artificial intelligence in higher education: A systematic review of its impact on student engagement and the mediating role of teaching methods. Frontiers in Education, 10. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1648661

Guettala, M., Bouacha, M., Mansouri, A., & Benmansour, N. (2024). Generative AI in learning environments: Current applications and implications. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 27(2), 45–61.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Hosseini, M., Rasmussen, L. M., & Resnik, D. B. (2023). ChatGPT and academic integrity: Challenges and opportunities. Journal of Academic Ethics, 21(4), 307–316.

Hwang, G. J., & Tu, Y. F. (2021). Roles and research trends of artificial intelligence in mathematics education: A bibliometric mapping analysis and systematic review. Mathematics, 9(6), 584.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson Education.

MDPI Multimodal Technologies and Interaction. (2025). Systematic review of artificial intelligence in education: Trends, benefits, and challenges. Multimodal Technologies and Interaction, 9(8), 84. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti9080084

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLOS Medicine, 6(7), e1000097.

Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development. UNESCO Working Papers on Education Policy.

Shen, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, Q., & Wang, H. (2025). Large language models in education: A meta-analysis of effectiveness studies. Computers & Education, 210, 105026.

Artificial Intelligence in Education:
A Systematic Literature Review of Trends, Applications, and Future Directions

http://www.doi.org/10.62341/istj-vol38-2-am45

Springer Nature. (2025). Mapping artificial intelligence research in higher education toward sustainable development. Discover Sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-02162-0

UNESCO. (2024). Guidance for generative AI in education and research. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.

VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197–221.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marin, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education: Where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16(1), 39.

Zendy. (2026). AI in education for students & researchers: 2025 trends & statistics. Retrieved from https://zendy.io/blog/ai-in-research-for-students-researchers-2025-trends-statistics